



Coventry City Council

SUMMARY OF CABINET/CABINET MEMBER DECISIONS

WEEK COMMENCING 15 AUGUST 2016

CALL IN FOR THESE DECISIONS ENDS
9.00 A.M. ON Friday 26 August 2016

19 August 2016

Public Business

- Denotes items that have been referred to Audit and Procurement Committee.
- # Denotes items that are to be referred to Council. Accordingly Call-in does not apply.
- ◆ Denotes a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board. Where this body has endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member Call-in does not apply.
- * Denotes other items that have been referred to, or considered by, the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a specific Scrutiny Board.
- Split recommendations. Please see note at foot of item for details of the recommendations that are not subject to call-in.

Note: The Limitations on Call-in are set out at the end of this sheet.

Cabinet Member for City Services – Monday 15 August 2016

Report 4 Petition – Removal of Vegetation and Associated Raised Bed Structures at Honeyfield Road, (outside numbers 6 & 11) and Edmund Road (outside numbers 4 & 28)

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for (City Services) is recommended to:

1. Establish via consultation with local Ward Councillors and the occupiers of the properties where the raised beds are located on the recommended option before their removal as it is not clear from petition signatories if this has been done prior to submission. This will confirm if there is local community consensus for the work to be carried out.
2. Following consultation and with majority consensus approve the removal of all shrubs, raised bed structures, stone bollards and surrounding slab footway in the four specific locations detailed in the report and replacement with tarmac footway and new bollards. This work is for inclusion in the 2017/18 maintenance programme of work, subject to Cabinet's approval of the Capital Highway maintenance budget at their meeting in March 2017.

The above recommendations were approved.

Report 5 Part Closure of Cox Street Car Park – Objection

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:-

- 1) Consider the objection lodged regarding the part closure of the car park.
- 2) Reject the objection and allow the car park closure procedure and the development to continue.
- 3) Inform the objector in writing of the decision arrived at.

The above recommendations were approved along with the following additional recommendation:

- 4) Officers are requested to speak to the representatives of the Britannia Hotel informing them of the part closure of Cox Street Car Park.

Report 6 Objections to Proposed Waiting Restrictions

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:

Consider the objections to the proposed waiting restrictions;

- 1) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the implementation of the restrictions as advertised on Arbury Avenue/Astley Avenue junction, Balliol Road/ Wyke Road/ Wykeley Road junction, Brookside Avenue, Morgans Road, Robin Hood Road/Stretton Avenue, Stretton Avenue/Fawley Road, William McCool Close;
- 2) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the implementation of a reduced length of double yellow lines on Bennetts Road/Herders Way (reduce to 15 metres each side of the junction), on Harvey Close (reduce by 2 metres on southern side of road) and on Rochester Road, western side on Raven Cragg Road (reduce by 1 metre);
- 3) Subject to recommendation 1, approve that the proposed double yellow lines are not installed on Buckingham Rise/Amersham Close & Buckingham Rise/ Chalfont Close;
- 4) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the implementation of the restrictions as advertised on Ebro Crescent, but not, initially, to install the trip rail barrier on the roundabout and to monitor the effect of the changes;
- 5) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the double yellow lines on Hurst Road are not removed;

- 6) Subject to recommendation 1, approve the reduction in double yellow lines as advertised in the Arden Street Area, apart from Myrtle Grove, where the proposed double yellow lines are to be reduced (installed on the southern side of the road only);
- 7) Subject to recommendation 1 approve the installation of the waiting restrictions as proposed in the Tile Hill area; not to consider an extension to the double yellow lines on Station Avenue at this time but to monitor the situation; and
- 8) Subject to recommendations 1 to 8, approve that the proposed Traffic Regulation order is made operational.

The above recommendations were approved.

Report 7 Petitions Determined by Letter and Petitions Deferred Pending Further Investigations

Recommendations:

Cabinet Member for City Services is recommended to:-

1. Endorse the actions being taken by officers as set out in Section 2 and Appendix A of the report in response to the petitions received.

The above recommendation was approved.

Report 8 Outstanding Issues

Recommendations:

The Cabinet Member for City Services is requested to consider the list of outstanding issues and to ask the Member of the Management Board or appropriate officer to explain the current position on those which should have been discharged at this meeting or an earlier meeting.

The above recommendation was approved.

Limitations on Call-in

A call-in will normally be regarded as appropriate **UNLESS**:-

1. it falls within paragraph 18 of the Scrutiny rules (Part 3E of the Constitution) – ie. it relates to:-
 - (i) a matter which is to be determined by the Council.
 - (ii) a decision of the Cabinet/Cabinet Member taken as a matter of urgency and the Chair of the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee (or his/her nominee) had been invited to attend the meeting where the urgent decision had been taken or the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee has previously agreed the need for urgency.
 - (iii) a decision made by an employee exercising delegated authority.
 - (iv) decisions of the Licensing and Regulatory Committee.
 - (v) decisions of the Planning Committee.
 - (vi) decisions of the Appeals and Appointments Panels.
 - (vii) decisions of the Audit and Procurement Committee.
 - (viii) a matter where the associated report has already been considered by the Scrutiny Co-ordination Committee or a Scrutiny Board who have endorsed the recommendations or made recommendations that have been accepted by the Cabinet/Cabinet Member.
2. The call-in form is not completed correctly.
3. The call-in form is received after the specified time.
4. The reason for the call-in is unclear or does not relate directly to the decision specified on the call-in form.
5. The reason for the call-in is a question, the answer to which can be found in the report relating to the decision which is being called in.



Resources Directorate
Council House
Earl Street
COVENTRY CV1 5RR